

15th Organization Studies Summer Workshop 2020 | Call for Papers

Organizing Sustainably: Actors, Institutions, and Practices

20th to 23rd May 2020

Convenors

Rick Delbridge | Cardiff University, Wales

Markus Helfen | University of Innsbruck, Austria

Andi Pekarek | Melbourne University, Australia

Elke Schuessler | Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Charlene Zietsma | Pennsylvania State University, USA

Under the umbrella term ‘sustainability’, organization scholars are trying to address the disproportional exploitation of human and natural resources that goes hand in hand with current capitalist economic systems. Such exploitation endangers life on our planet and is inherently unsustainable for societies (Polanyi, 2001). It is a mantra of contemporary management that there is a ‘business case’ for sustainability, and many businesses have embraced the rhetoric of the ‘triple bottom line’ in the face of growing pressure from governments and communities to account for their social, environmental and economic performance (Wright & Nyberg, 2015). Whatever the benevolent ambitions of these approaches, with the emergence of new forms of labour exploitation such as non-standard work in fissured workplaces (Weil, 2014), in highly unregulated platform-mediated work (Cappelli & Keller, 2013), or even in cases of modern slavery (Crane, 2013), they can be said to have failed (Adler, 2015). Likewise, the destruction of land and habitat and the pollution of the atmosphere continues in the absence of effective environmental legislation (Schüßler, Ruling & Wittneben, 2014). And economic prosperity, apart from short-term gains for a few, is a fairy tale for large parts of the global population.

Nonetheless, alternative models for organizing sustainably are possible and exist (Ostrom, 2017) – to date, typically in niches (e.g. ethical fashion, fair phones) or on a local or smaller scale (e.g. food or financial cooperatives) or in pockets of municipal

public procurement of utilities (e.g. public transport) (for an overview see Barin Cruz, Alves & Delbridge, 2017). While multiple jurisdictions around the world are enabling stakeholder-serving (Hörisch, Freeman & Schaltegger, 2014), rather than simply stockholder-serving, organizational forms such as B-Corps (Gehman & Grimes, 2017) and community interest companies (Nicholls, 2010), these remain marginal and fragile, and traditional stakeholder capitalism models in European jurisdictions are eroding as shareholder models spread (Meyer & Höllerer, 2010). Thus, these alternative organizational models are often themselves not sustainable in the light of dominant market forces (Marti & Scherer, 2016).

The objective of this workshop is to go beyond established ways of thinking about sustainability and towards understanding how new forms of organizing – such as more participatory and distributed models (Ferraro, Etzion & Gehman, 2015) – can contribute to the sustainable usage of environmental, social, and economic resources in ways that avoid their degradation and exhaustion through models that will themselves be enduring. This includes addressing the questions of why unsustainable forms of organizations persist, how established organizations can be restructured sustainably, and what makes alternative forms of organization (un)sustainable.

There are a number of specific topics and questions that will be addressed at the workshop. These include:

- *The practices and politics of the sustainable organization.* Contributions would potentially address a variety of organizational issues including corporate corruption, corporate social responsibility and responsible innovation, and examine the trade-offs and lines of contestation between sustainable and unsustainable organizing practices at different levels of analysis (e.g. Helfen, Schüßler & Sydow, 2018). Questions might include: How do different intra- and extra-organizational actors interpret sustainability (e.g. Levy & Spicer, 2013)? What role do meta-organizations, ideational brokers and other types of second-order organizational vehicles play in advancing or blocking the cause of sustainability in the realm of multi-stakeholder negotiations and transnational regulation (e.g. Reinecke, Manning & van Hagen, 2012)? How do social movements prefigure sustainable practices (e.g. Gahan & Pekarek, 2013; Bertels,

Hoffman & DeJordy, 2014), and how do sustainability challenges and their framing shape social activism (e.g. Lefsrud, Graves & Phillips, 2019)? And how do these interactions play out across the boundaries of organizational fields and compare across country-specific institutional settings?

- *Alternative forms of organizing and societal grand challenges.* Contributions are encouraged which reflect upon the wider societal context of organization, for example, organizing to address the societal challenges of social inequality, creating the circular economy, and delivering healthy ageing, to name a few. Studies may centre on alternative forms of collaborative organizing such as mutuals, cooperatives (e.g. Barin Cruz, Aguilar Delgado, Leca & Gond, 2016), and locally-owned companies, as well as on identifying sustainable approaches among the plethora of digitally mediated forms of organizing in the 'sharing' economy. In addition, more cross-boundary, multi-sectoral forms of organizing, and those involving citizen engagement, may be considered (e.g. Ansari, Wijen & Gray, 2013). Questions might include: How do external factors such as resources, technological developments or the public discourse impact upon alternative forms of organizing (e.g. Daskalaki, Fotaki & Sotiropoulou, 2018)? What organizational patterns and forms may be discerned regarding practices, cultures and leadership in sustainable organizations? What makes alternative forms of organizing vulnerable and what prevents them from realizing their disruptive potential? What factors lead to conflict and what factors lead to collaboration in cross-boundary, multi-actor organizing and citizen engagement?
- *Work and employment in the sustainable organization.* Exploration of the labour dimensions of sustainable organizations is often neglected in the current discourse on sustainability (Pfeffer, 2010). As long as businesses merely seek to manage 'human resources' to generate profits for shareholders, aspirations for more sustainable forms of work will remain unmet (Osterman, 2018). Rather, working sustainably implies scope for voice in organizations (Wilkinson, Gollan, Kalfa, et al., 2018) and industrial citizenship beyond organization in order to reinstate workers as resourceful and inherently valuable human beings (Lohmeyer, Schüßler & Helfen, 2018). We invite contributions that illuminate how work and workers (and their representatives) shape and are shaped by

questions of organizational sustainability and what this means for future world(s) of work (Delbridge & Sallaz, 2015). Questions here could include: What are the implications for employee health, well-being and identity, work-life balance and social and economic security of unsustainable organizational practices? And how does work in and for organizations need to change to contribute to sustainability? To what extent are these challenges amplified by newer developments such as the rapidly-expanding 'gig economy', insecure contracting, and the automation of tasks (Healy, Nicholson & Pekarek, 2017)? And how can modes of employee and workers' voice be restored and reformed to allow for a stronger participation and co-determination of workers in a 'just transition' to the sustainable organization?

- *Conventions, ideas and logics surrounding the sustainable organization.* We encourage contributions that critically engage with the ideological bases of dominant and alternative forms of organizing and the consequences of these. Questions might include: How do different organizational and institutional logics shape symbolic and substantive organizational efforts to organize sustainably (e.g. Kok, De Bakker & Groenewegen, 2017)? Why are alternative forms of organizing and underlying institutional logics, such as a 'zero growth' economy, difficult to sustain and diffuse? How do actors organize for maintaining or, alternatively, disrupting institutional arrangements fostering sustainability (e.g. Cartel, Boxenbaum & Aggeri, 2019; Zietsma, Ruebottom & Slade Shantz, 2018)?

Overall, we seek contributions from a wide range of theoretical perspectives and methodological approaches. Specifically, our intention is to bridge diverse but established areas for sustainability research such as corporate social responsibility, diversity management, employment relations, employee health and wellbeing, environmentalism and business ethics with wider organizational scholarship on social movements, non-governmental and third sector organizations, public policy and local community organizing and, more broadly, research on the post-corporate economic organization. We hereby aim to provide opportunities for new connections across proximate disciplines, including management studies, (comparative) political economy, business ethics, social movement theory, economic sociology, the sociology of work and industrial relations, while retaining a clear focus on organizations and practices of

organizing. We explicitly encourage submissions from less well-represented regions, where organizational alternatives are often to be found, as well as internationally comparative work. We are also keen to receive work that bridges or challenges existing approaches, or highlights the trade-offs and dilemmas among different sustainability goals.

Submissions

The 15th *Organization Studies* Workshop will take place from the 20th to the 23rd of May 2020 in Greece. Those interested in participating should submit an abstract by December 6th 2019 through the OS Workshop website: www.os-workshop.com. Abstracts should be of no more than 1,000 words. Authors will be notified of acceptance or otherwise by January 15th 2020. Full papers must be submitted by April 30th, 2020. The venue of the workshop is Minoa Palace Resort & Spa, Chania, Crete, Greece. Further details on the logistics of the workshop will be published through the OS Workshop website.

Following the workshop, a Special Issue will be announced in *Organization Studies*. To be considered for publication, papers must be submitted via the OS website at <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/orgstudies> by October 30th 2020. There you can also find guidelines for submission and information on the review procedures. Please note that participation in the workshop is highly recommended (but not a prerequisite) if you intend to submit a paper to the Special Issue.

Informal enquiries to Rick Delbridge, delbridger@cardiff.ac.uk

References

- Adler, P. S. (2015). Book review essay: The environmental crisis and its capitalist roots: Reading Naomi Klein with Karl Polanyi—Naomi Klein: This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 60(2): NP13-NP15.
- Ansari, S., Wijen, F., & Gray, B. (2013). Constructing a climate change logic: An institutional perspective on the 'tragedy of the commons'. *Organization Science*, 24(4), 1014-1040.
- Barin Cruz, L., Aguilar Delgado, N., Leca, B., & Gond, J. P. (2016). Institutional resilience in extreme operating environments: The role of institutional work. *Business & Society*, 55(7): 970-1016.
- Barin Cruz, L., Alves, M. A., & Delbridge, R. (2017). Next steps in organizing alternatives to capitalism: toward a relational research agenda. *M@n@gement*, 20(4): 322-335.
- Bertels, S., Hoffman, A. J., & DeJordy, R. (2014). The varied work of challenger movements: Identifying challenger roles in the US environmental movement. *Organization Studies*, 35(8): 1171-1210.
- Cappelli, P. & Keller, J.R. (2013). Classifying work in the new economy. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(4): 575-596.
- Cartel, M., Boxenbaum, E., & Aggeri, F. (2019). Just for fun! How experimental spaces stimulate innovation in institutionalized fields. *Organization Studies*, 40(1): 65-92.
- Crane, A. (2013). Modern slavery as a management practice: Exploring the conditions and capabilities for human exploitation. *Academy of Management Review*, 38(1): 49-69.
- Daskalaki, M., Fotaki, M., & Sotiropoulou, I. (2018). Performing Values Practices and Grassroots Organizing: The Case of Solidarity Economy Initiatives in Greece. *Organization Studies*, doi:[10.1177/0170840618800102](https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840618800102)
- Delbridge, R., & Sallaz, J. J. (2015). Work: Four Worlds and Ways of Seeing. *Organization Studies*, 36(11): 1449-1462.
- Ferraro, F., Etzion, D., & Gehman, J. (2015). Tackling grand challenges pragmatically: Robust action revisited. *Organization Studies*, 36(3): 363-390.

Gahan, P., & Pekarek, A. (2013). Social Movement Theory, Collective Action Frames and Union Theory: A Critique and Extension. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 51: [754-776](#).

Gehman, J., & Grimes, M. (2017). Hidden badge of honor: how contextual distinctiveness affects category promotion among certified B corporations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 60(6): 2294-2320.

Healy, J., Nicholson, D., & Pekarek, A. (2017). Should we take the gig economy seriously? *Labour & Industry: a journal of the social and economic relations of work*, 27(3): 232-248.

Helfen, M., Schüßler, E., & Sydow, J. (2018). How can employment relations in global value networks be managed towards social responsibility? *Human Relations*, 71(12): 1640-1665.

Hörisch, J., Freeman, R.E., & Schaltegger, S. (2014). Applying stakeholder theory in sustainability management: Links, similarities, and a conceptual framework. *Organization & Environment*, 27(4): 328-346.

Kok, A. M., de Bakker, F. G., & Groenewegen, P. (2017). Sustainability struggles: Conflicting cultures and incompatible logics. *Business & Society*, doi: [10.1177/0007650317703644](#).

Lefsrud, L., Graves, H. & Phillips, N. (forthcoming). 'Giant toxic lakes you can see from space': A theory of multimodal messages and emotion in legitimacy work. *Organization Studies*.

Levy, D. & Spicer, A. (2013). Contested imageries and the cultural political economy of climate change. *Organization*, 20(5): 659-678.

Lohmeyer, N., Schüßler, E., & Helfen, M. (2018). Can solidarity be organized" from below" in global supply chains? The case of ExChains. *Industrielle Beziehungen. Zeitschrift für Arbeit, Organisation und Management*, 25(4): 472-496.

Marti, E., & Scherer, A. G. (2016). Financial regulation and social welfare: The critical contribution of management theory, *Academy of Management Review*, 41(2): 298-323.

Meyer, R. E., & Höllerer, M. A. (2010). Meaning structures in a contested issue field: A topographic map of shareholder value in Austria. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(6), 1241-1262.

Nicholls, A. (2010). Institutionalizing social entrepreneurship in regulatory space: Reporting and disclosure by community interest companies. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(4), 394-415.

Osterman, P. (2018) In search of the High Road: Meaning and evidence. *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*, 71(1): 3-34.

Ostrom, E. (2017). *Governing the commons. The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge [1990].

Pfeffer, J. (2010). Building sustainable organizations: The human factor. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 24: 34-45.

Polanyi, K. (2001). *The great transformation*. Boston: Beacon [1944].

Reinecke, J., Manning, S., & Von Hagen, O. (2012). The emergence of a standards market: Multiplicity of sustainability standards in the global coffee industry. *Organization Studies*, 33(5-6), 791-814.

Weil, D. (2014). *The fissured workplace. Why work became so bad for so many and what can be done to improve it*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge.

Wilkinson A., Gollan P.J., Kalfa S., et al. (2018) Voices unheard: Employee voice in the new century. *International Journal of Human Resource Management* 33(3): 1-14.

Wright, C. & Nyberg, D. (2015). *Climate change, capitalism, and corporation. Processes of creative self-destruction*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.

Zietsma, C., Ruebottom, T., Slade Shantz, A. (2018). Unobtrusive maintenance: temporal complexity, latent category control and the stalled emergence of the cleantech sector. *Journal of Management Studies*, 55(7), 1242-1277.