

Orthogonal rational functions with real poles, root asymptotics, GMP matrices

Giorgio Young

joint work with
Benjamin Eichinger and Milivoje Lukić

Complex Analysis, Spectral Theory and Approximation
Linz, July 5, 2022

Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials

- Let μ be a compactly supported nontrivial probability measure. Define $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to be the orthonormal polynomials formed by applying Gram-Schmidt in $L^2(\mu)$ to $\{z^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
- For $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathbb{R}$, the orthonormal polynomials $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy a three term recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} xp_n(x) &= a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+1}p_n(x) + a_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1 \\ xp_0(x) &= a_1 p_1(x) + b_1 p_0(x) \end{aligned}$$

for $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded sequences.

- The operator of multiplication by x , $T_{x, d\mu}$ has a tridiagonal matrix representation in the basis $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & & & \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & & \\ & a_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

called a bounded Jacobi matrix.

Universal bounds

- Let $E = \text{ess sup } \mu$ be the essential support of μ and $G_E(\cdot, \infty)$ be the Green function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ at ∞ .
- We have the universal inequality

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n(z)|^{1/n} \geq e^{G_E(z, \infty)}$$

for z away from the convex hull of E .

- We have another universal inequality in terms of the coefficients of the Jacobi matrix

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell \right)^{1/n} \leq \text{cap}(\sigma_{\text{ess}}(J))$$

- The latter inequality can be related back to the p_n by the identity $p_n(z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell} z^n + \text{l.o.t.}$

Stahl-Totik Regularity

- Equality in

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_n(z)|^{1/n} = e^{G_E(z, \infty)} \quad (1)$$

is called Stahl-Totik regularity for the measure μ .

- A Jacobi matrix is said to be regular for a set E if $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(J) = E$ and we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^n a_\ell \right)^{1/n} = \text{cap}(\sigma_{\text{ess}}(J)). \quad (2)$$

It was first studied for the case $E = [-2, 2]$ by Ullman 1972.

- (1) \iff (2).

Orthogonal rational functions

- In our setting, we start with a nontrivial probability measure μ supported on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, and a finite sequence $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{g+1})$ with $\mathbf{c}_k \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$. We denote $E = \text{ess sup}(\mu)$.
- Our sequence of orthonormal functions come from orthonormalizing the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where $r_0 = 1$ and for $n = j(g+1) + k$, where $1 \leq k \leq g+1$

$$r_n(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(\mathbf{c}_k - z)^{j+1}} \\ z^{j+1} \end{cases}$$

Call the sequence $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

- Orthogonal polynomials are exactly the case $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{C} = (\infty)$, and $g = 0$.

Universal inequality for τ_n

We define

$$\mathcal{G}_E(z, \mathbf{C}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{g+1} \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} G_E(z, \mathbf{c}_k) & E \text{ is not polar} \\ +\infty & E \text{ is polar} \end{cases}$$

Then,

Theorem

For all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n(z)|^{1/n} \geq e^{\mathcal{G}_E(z, \mathbf{C})}.$$

Universal inequality on leading coefficients

- Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \text{span}\{r_\ell : 0 \leq \ell \leq n\}$. By the Gram-Schmidt process, there is a $\kappa_n > 0$ with $\tau_n - \kappa_n r_n \in \mathcal{L}_{n-1}$. We refer to κ_n as a leading coefficient.
- We define

$$\gamma_E^k = \begin{cases} \lim_{z \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_k} (G_E(z, \mathbf{c}_k) + \log |z - \mathbf{c}_k|), & \mathbf{c}_k \neq \infty \\ \lim_{z \rightarrow \mathbf{c}_k} (G_E(z, \mathbf{c}_k) - \log |z|), & \mathbf{c}_k = \infty \end{cases}$$

and

$$\log \lambda_k = \begin{cases} \gamma_E^k + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq g+1 \\ \ell \neq k}} G_E(\mathbf{c}_k, \mathbf{c}_\ell) & E \text{ is not polar} \\ +\infty & E \text{ is polar} \end{cases}$$

Then:

Theorem

For all $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$, for the subsequence $n(j) = j(g + 1) + k$,

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n(j)}^{1/n(j)} \geq \lambda_k^{1/(g+1)}. \quad (3)$$

Defining C regularity

Theorem

TFAE:

- (i) For some $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$, for the subsequence $n(j) = j(g + 1) + k$,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n(j)}^{1/n(j)} = \lambda_k^{1/(g+1)};$$

- (ii) For all $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$, for the subsequence $n(j) = j(g + 1) + k$,
 $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{n(j)}^{1/n(j)} = \lambda_k^{1/(g+1)};$

(iii)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{g+1} \kappa_{n+\ell} \right)^{1/n} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{g+1} \lambda_k \right)^{1/(g+1)}$$

- (iv) For q.e. $z \in E$, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq 1$;
- (v) For some $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq e^{\mathcal{G}_E(z, \mathbb{C})}$;
- (vi) For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq e^{\mathcal{G}_E(z, \mathbb{C})}$;
- (vii) Uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\tau_n(z)|^{1/n} = e^{\mathcal{G}_E(z, \mathbb{C})}$.

Stahl-Totik regularity and \mathbf{C} regularity

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2$ be two finite sequences of elements from $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{supp } \mu$, not necessarily of the same length. Then μ is \mathbf{C}_1 -regular if and only if it is \mathbf{C}_2 -regular.

Since Stahl-Totik regularity is the case $\mathbf{C} = (\infty)$, this immediately yields:

Corollary

Let $\text{supp } \mu \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let \mathbf{C} be a finite sequence of elements from $\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \text{supp } \mu$. Then μ is \mathbf{C} -regular if and only if it is Stahl-Totik regular.

Conformal invariance

Conformal invariance follows from the previous Corollary.

Theorem

Let $f \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \{\text{id}, z \mapsto -z\}$. If μ is a Stahl–Totik regular measure on \mathbb{R} and $\infty \notin \text{supp}(f_\mu)$, then the pushforward measure $f_*\mu$ is also Stahl–Totik regular.*

Weak convergence of zero counting measure

Define

$$\nu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{w: \tau_n(w)=0} \delta_w$$

and for nonpolar E,

$$\rho_{E, \mathbf{c}} = \frac{1}{g+1} \sum_{j=1}^{g+1} \omega_E(x, \mathbf{c}_j).$$

Theorem

Let μ be a probability measure on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Assume that E is not a polar set.

- (a) If μ is **C** regular, then $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n = \rho_{E, \mathbf{c}}$.
- (b) If $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n = \rho_{E, \mathbf{c}}$, then μ is **C** regular or there exists a polar set $X \subset E$ such that $\mu(\overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus X) = 0$.

GMP matrices

- For a sequence $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{g+1})$ with $\mathbf{c}_k = \infty$, we call the matrix representation for $T_{x,d\mu}$ with respect to the basis of ORF a GMP matrix. They were introduced in a Yuditskii '18.
- GMP matrices are tridiagonal block matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B_0 & A_0 & & & & & \\ A_0^* & B_1 & A_1 & & & & \\ & A_1^* & B_2 & A_2 & & & \\ & & A_2^* & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

where B_0 is a $k \times k$ matrix, A_0 is a $k \times (g+1)$ matrix, and A_j, B_j for $j \geq 1$ are $(g+1) \times (g+1)$ matrices.

- GMP matrices have the property that resolvents at the \mathbf{c}_ℓ , $\ell \neq k$ also have the above form.
- For the sequence $\mathbf{C} = (\infty)$, the matrix representation for $T_{x,d\mu}$ is a Jacobi matrix.

Regular GMP matrices

- A relation between the κ_n and the nonzero entry on the outermost diagonal of the associated GMP matrix allows us to find a notion of regularity of a measure purely in terms of the coefficients of the GMP matrix.

Theorem

Fix a probability measure μ with $\text{supp } \mu \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a sequence $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{g+1})$ with $\mathbf{c}_k = \infty$. Then

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^j \beta_\ell \right)^{1/j} \leq \lambda_k^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, the measure μ is Stahl–Totik regular if and only if

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^j \beta_\ell \right)^{1/j} = \lambda_k^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

Finite gap sets and the isospectral torus

- We specialize to finite gap sets,

$$E = [\mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{a}_0] \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^g (\mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{b}_k),$$

and denote by \mathcal{T}_E^+ the set of almost periodic half-line Jacobi matrices with $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(J) = \sigma_{\text{ac}}(J) = E$. This set is called the isospectral torus.

- We consider the metric on bounded Jacobi matrices given by

$$d(J, \tilde{J}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k} (|a_k - \tilde{a}_k| + |b_k - \tilde{b}_k|). \quad (6)$$

as well as the distance to \mathcal{T}_E^+ ,

$$d(J, \mathcal{T}_E^+) = \inf_{\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{T}_E^+} d(J, \tilde{J}) = \min_{\tilde{J} \in \mathcal{T}_E^+} d(J, \tilde{J}).$$

The Nevai and Cesàro–Nevai conditions and Simon's Conjecture

- Denote by S_+ the right shift operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, $S_+e_n = e_{n+1}$. The condition

$$d((S_+^*)^m JS_+^m, \mathcal{T}_E^+) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$ is called the Nevai condition.

- Remling 2011, the Nevai condition implies regularity. The converse is false. However, Simon 2009 conjectured

Theorem

If $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a compact finite gap set and J is a regular Jacobi matrix with $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(J) = E$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^N d((S_+^*)^m JS_+^m, \mathcal{T}_E^+) = 0. \quad (7)$$

where (7) is the Cesàro–Nevai condition.

Special cases

- Proved by Simon 2009 in the special case when E is the spectrum of a periodic Jacobi matrix with all gaps open.
- The method of proof relied on the periodic discriminant and techniques from Damanik–Killip–Simon 2010.
- Proved by Kruger 2010 for the case $\inf_n a_n > 0$ using completely different methods.

The Ahlfor's function and the Yuditskii discriminant

- Our paper proves the general case extending Simon's methods and uses techniques of Yuditskii 2018; in particular GMP matrices and the Ahlfor's function.
- The Ahlfor's function Ψ for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ is the analytic function $\Psi : \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $\Psi(\infty) = 0$ that maximizes $\operatorname{Re}(\Psi'(\infty))$. It has one zero $\mathbf{c}_k \in (\mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{b}_k)$ for each $1 \leq k \leq g$; with ∞ , these are the only zeros.
- Our discriminant is

$$\Delta_E(z) = \Psi(z) + \frac{1}{\Psi}.$$

It is a rational function with poles at the $\mathbf{C}_E = (\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_g, \infty)$:

$$\Delta_E(z) = \lambda_{g+1}z + d + \sum_{k=1}^g \frac{\lambda_k}{\mathbf{c}_k - z}.$$

Proving Simon's Conjecture

- We show: regularity of $J \implies$ regularity of A and its resolvents \implies the block Jacobi matrix $\mathcal{J} = \Delta_E(A)$ is regular in the sense of Damanik-Pushnitski-Simon $\implies \mathcal{J}$ satisfies a Cesàro-Nevai condition.
- By modifying arguments of Yuditskii 2018, this implies J satisfies the Cesàro-Nevai condition.

Thank you!